# Single Spin Asymmetries in UPC

## Exclusive $\rho^0$ photo-production Run 15 pAu $\sqrt{s_N} = 200$ GeV

**Daniel Torres Valladares Rice University** 

23/04/2025



### Motivation

I aim to investigate single spin asymmetries (SSA) in photoproduction. A previous proof-of-principle measurement by W. Schmidke yielded a null result, but this analysis seeks to explore the potential for SSA within photoproduction processes.



Invariant mass spectrum for electron pairs.



SSA for J/Psi in Run 15 for RP\_2E and 2E triggers. Plot obtained from presentation of W. Schmidke.



## Dataset description

pAu collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV the center of mass energy.

Trigger Name	Trigger ID	Production Tag	Library	Number of Events
RP_UPC	500020	P16id	SL16d	131.88 M
RP_UPC	500000	P16id	SL16d	45.49 M

### Total = **177.37** M

### **Command to get the file list:**

```
GET_FILE_LIST.PL -DISTINCT -KEYS PATH, FILENAME \
  -LIMIT O -DISTINCT -DELIM '/' > LIST/FILE_LIST_ALL.LIST
```

### All the results shown here, unless something else is specified, come from Run 15

### Track quality cuts

Requires the following condition for each track

- *DCA* < 3.0
- $P_T > 0.2 \, \text{GeV}$
- |Q| = 1
- $|\eta| < 1$
- |nHitsFit| > 15•
- |nHitsdEdx| > 10
- 0.32 < rationHits < 1.05

### **Event Cuts**

- # of Tracks = 2
- $|V_7| \le 100 \,\mathrm{cm}$
- $q_1q_2 = -1$



# **Trigger Definitions**

Trigger Name	Description	Value	Trigger Use
TOFmult1	TOF multiplicity > 0	1	>
TOFmult2	TOF multiplicity < 6	6	<
RP_WOR	Roman Pot WOR?		
BBC-E	Beam-Beam Counter East (Au-going side)		× Veto
BBC-W	Beam-Beam Counter West (p-going side)		× Veto
ZDC-W	ZDC hit on West (p-going) sid	?	× Veto
ZDC-front-or-th1-E	ZDC East (Au-going) front detector or threshold	1200?	<

### ADC sum distribution (West ZDC vs. East ZDC)

West and East ADC sum for UPC Triggers



UPC Trigger ADC sum for West (protongoing) vs East (gold-going). Different ADC thresholds were applied to the East and West ZDCs to account for the collision asymmetry, with the expectation that the proton remains intact and the gold nucleus may break up. This corresponds to selecting **OnXn** events.







# **BBC (West vs. East)**

### BBC East vs West ADC



- **East BBC** is the most active channel, as expected, since nuclear breakup can occur on the gold-going side (East), producing forward-moving charged fragments.
- West BBC shows minimal activity, consistent with the proton-going side remaining mostly intact in Ultra-Peripheral Collisions (UPCs).
- Peak:
- **Repeated bands** are observed in the ADC distribution, indicating ...





### **Roman Pots Tracks**

**RP West** 

The Roman Pot West detectors remain mostly empty throughout the run in UPC-triggered events, as expected for the proton-going side. In contrast, the East detectors record multiple hits, likely resulting from charged debris produced by nuclear breakup on the gold-going side.



### RP East vs West







1

## **Roman Pots vs ZDC and BBC**

The Roman Pot track multiplicity shows strong correlation with BBC and ZDC ADC values. Most events exhibit low activity across all detectors, consistent with exclusive processes. Only a few events show significant energy deposition or multiple RP tracks, suggesting rare inelastic or non-exclusive interactions.



RP vs ZDC (East)

RP vs BBC (East)



# $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair selection

dE/dx Selection

For a pair of tracks we define the quantity:

$$\chi^2_{AB} = n\sigma_A^2 + n\sigma_B^2$$

And require that:  $\chi^2_{\pi\pi} < 8$ 

Additionally, we require for each individual track

 $n\sigma_V > 2$ , where Y = (p, K)

### TOF selection

Setting the VPD Start time to zero ( $t_0 = 0$ ), we define the quantity  $\Delta TOF_{\text{measured}} = t_{\text{bTOF}}^+ - t_{\text{bTOF}}^ \Delta TOF_{\text{expected}} = t_{\text{expected}}^+ - t_{\text{expected}}^-$ With

$$t_{\text{expected}} = \frac{\Delta s}{c} \sqrt{1 + \frac{m_{\pi}^2 c^2}{p_{\pi}^2}}$$

 $\Delta \Delta TOF = \Delta TOF_{\text{measured}} - \Delta TOF_{\text{expected}}$  $\Delta\Delta TOF < 0.75$  ns







### **ADC** sum distribution After PiDs

After applying the PID cuts, the ADC Sum on the West side is consistent with noise, indicating no hits. Combined with nonzero ADC Sum values on the East side, this pattern corresponds to **OnXn-type collisions**, an expected signature of UPC p+Au

### Counts (a) (b) West East $\gamma^2$ /ndf = 123.52/87 $\chi^2$ /ndf = 123.73/87 Mean = 79 Mean = 84 Sigma = 23 Sigma = 23 300 400 200 300 400 500 500 600 700 600 800 ADC ADC

Run 14 Au+Au @200 GeV

Xu, Yi-Fei, et al. "Physics performance of the STAR zero degree calorimeter at relativistic heavy ion collider." Nuclear Science and Techniques 27.6 (2016): 126.





# PiD variables plots

 $P_T^{\pi}$  cuts: The tail exhibits a steeper decline compared to when no PiD is applied. This is expected, as the applied cuts eliminate momentum ranges where PiD cuts are less effective in distinguishing between particles, i.e.

 $P_T > 1 \text{ GeV}/c$ 







## PiD variables plots

 $n\sigma_{\pi}$  vs q\*p (GeV/c)



 $n\sigma_{\pi}$  vs charge\*momentum after PiD

 $n\sigma_{\pi}$  vs q\*p (GeV/c) (After PiD)

 $n\sigma_{\pi}$  vs charge\*momentum after PiD

### **QA Polarization Plot** 70 60 50



negative y-axis directions.

Polarization (%)

Spin Patterns Count

## **Mass and Momentum after PiD**

A clear  $\rho^0$  appears after after  $\chi^2_{\pi\pi}$  and  $\Delta\Delta TOF$  are applied. Some contamination is expected in these plots as no Kaons and protons were excluded by using  $n\sigma_V > 2$ , where Y = (p, K).



 $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass distribution

Invariant mass histogram for Run 15 AuAu collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ 



### Characterization **ND ND** of $\rho^0$ peak





Clear  $\rho^0$  peak

30

20

- Define region of interest  $m_{\pi\pi} \in (0.65, 0.90)$  GeV/*c*
- $E_T^{\gamma} = \hbar c / r_p \sim 250$  MeV for coherent process only.
- Photon-Proton center of mass energy:

$$W_{\gamma p} \approx \sqrt{2M_{
ho^0}E_p}e^{-y/2} \rightarrow \left\langle W_{\gamma p} \right\rangle = 8.87 \text{ GeV}$$

• For  $\langle P_T \rangle = 0.18 \, \text{GeV}/c$ 

 $A_N = 0.096 \pm 0.028$ 

No possible comparison with the same theory plot that Schmidke's did



# Trigger Topology in the Exclusive $\rho^0$ Region

The observed topology is consistent with exclusive  $\rho^0$  meson photo-production in ultra-peripheral p+Au collisions. We see a clean  $\pi^+\pi^-$  pair with minimal additional activity: both BBC and ZDC signals are near zero, indicating no nuclear breakup (**0n0n**), and Roman Pot detectors show no significant forward proton activity. This suggests a coherent, exclusive process with no additional particle production, as expected for  $\rho^0$  production via photon exchange.





# $A_N$ Definition

The  $A_N$  asymmetry quantifies the imbalance in particle production relative to the proton's spin direction, usually defined as:

$$A_{N} = \frac{1}{P} \frac{N_{\parallel} - N_{\parallel}}{N_{\parallel} + N_{\parallel}} = \frac{1}{P} \frac{N_{\parallel} - N_{\parallel}}{N}$$

Here,  $\sigma_{\uparrow}$  and  $\sigma_{\downarrow}$  represent the particle production rates with momentum components parallel and anti-parallel to the proton's spin, respectively. And,  $P = 60.99 \pm 0.09 \%$ represents the average polarization for the proton's beam.

$$N_{||} = N\left(\overrightarrow{P}_{T} \cdot \overrightarrow{s} > 0\right)$$

$$A_{N} \text{ Uncertainty}$$

$$\Delta A_{N} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial A_{N}}{\partial \sigma_{||}}\right)^{2}} \Delta \sigma_{||} + \left(\frac{\partial A_{N}}{\partial \sigma_{||}}\right)$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial A_{N}}{\sigma_{||,||}}\right| = \frac{2\sigma_{||,||}}{N^{2}}$$

$$N_{||} = N\left(\overrightarrow{P}_{T} \cdot \overrightarrow{s} < 0\right)$$
Transverse Plane
$$\Delta A_{N} = 2\frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{||}\Delta\sigma_{||} + \sigma_{||}\Delta\sigma_{||}}}{N^{2}}$$



# Vz Scanning

A\_N vs Vz



The values for the asymmetry for the different  $V_Z$  cuts are:

 $A_N(|V_z| < 20 \text{ cm}) = 0.110 \pm 0.050 \text{ (stat.)}$ 

 $A_N(|V_z| < 50 \text{cm}) = 0.104 \pm 0.036 \text{ (stat.)}$ 

 $A_N(|V_z| < 70 \text{ cm}) = 0.096 \pm 0.028 \text{ (stat.)}$ 

 $A_N(|V_z| < 100 \text{ cm}) = 0.068 \pm 0.025 \text{ (stat.)}$ 



### $P_T^{nn}$ Scanning

The  $A_N \perp$  to Spin axis is described as follows: Where

$$\sigma_{\rightarrow} = N((\overrightarrow{P}_{T}^{\pi\pi} \times \vec{S}) \cdot \hat{x} > 0)$$
  
$$\sigma_{\leftarrow} = N((\overrightarrow{P}_{T}^{\pi\pi} \times \vec{S}) \cdot \hat{x} < 0)$$

In the direction perpendicular to the spin direction we do not expect to see any asymmetries.

We can also observe an asymmetry  $3\sigma_{\!A_N}$  above zero at  $E_{\gamma} = \hbar c / r_p \sim 250 \,\text{MeV}$  which corresponds to the coherent interaction transverse momentum regime regime.





 $M_{\pi\pi}$  Differential Plots

 $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass distribution (0 < P<sub>1</sub>( $\pi^+\pi^-$ ) < 0.25 GeV/c)





# $P_{T}^{\pi\pi}$ Differential Plots

 $P_T^{\pi\pi}$  distribution (0.65 <  $M_{\pi\pi}$  < 0.9 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)



The  $A_N$  asymmetry seems to be enhanced around



# **Angular Distribution**



 $N^{\parallel, \parallel}(\phi)$ : Is the number of particles aligned (anti-aligned) with respect to proton's spin

 $\phi$ : Angle with respect to the spin axis.



## **Cross-Ratio Method**

Transverse Single Spin Asymmetry  $A_{N}(\phi)$ 



A more traditional/conventional method for searching for asymmetries is the cross-ratio method:

$$A_{N}^{\mathsf{raw}}\sin\phi = \frac{1}{P} \frac{\sqrt{N^{\uparrow}(\phi)N^{\downarrow}(\phi+\pi)} - \sqrt{N^{\downarrow}(\phi)N^{\uparrow}(\phi)}}{\sqrt{N^{\uparrow}(\phi)N^{\downarrow}(\phi+\pi)} + \sqrt{N^{\downarrow}(\phi)N^{\uparrow}(\phi+\pi)}}$$

where  $\phi$  is the angle between the spin direction and the transverse momentum of the  $\rho^0$ ;  $N^{\uparrow,\downarrow}$  represent the yields for the two different spin patterns

- 1. STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 103, 092009 (2021).
- 2. Lewis N. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2008.04283



### Conclusions

- Triggers are consistent with really clean 0n0n UPC collisions meaning exclusive  $\rho^0$  photoproduction.
- A up and down asymmetry was measured with a unconventional technique.
- It appears to be a clear excess of  $\rho^0$  photo-production along the proton's spin direction.
- A  $\sin \cos$  modulation is visible with the more standard cross-ratio technique.

### Outlook

- Background estimations still need to be done.
- Keep looking for some theory to compare these results with.
- Address any suggestions and comments coming out of this meeting.



### **Q&A Plots**

**TPC Hit Points (East)** 





**TPC East Phi** 

**10**<sup>6</sup>

Ξ

Π

**10**<sup>5</sup>

**10**<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

### 10

4

1

### Track quality cuts + PiD cuts

• 
$$|n\sigma_{\pi}| < 2$$

• 
$$|n\sigma_p| > 2$$

• 
$$|n\sigma_K| > 2$$

• 
$$|n\sigma_e| > 3$$



### **Q&A Plots**

TPC Hit Points (West)





Track quality cuts + PiD cuts

•  $|n\sigma_{\pi}| < 2$ 

**10<sup>6</sup>** 

**10**<sup>5</sup>

**10**<sup>4</sup>

**10**<sup>3</sup>

**10<sup>2</sup>** 

10

- $|n\sigma_p| > 2$
- $|n\sigma_K| > 2$
- $|n\sigma_e| > 3$

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

# $A_N$ is a pseudo-scalar

The  $A_N$  asymmetry is a pseudo-scalar quantity since under parity transformation:

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$> A_N \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} - A_N$$

But if there is an up-down asymmetry our initial state (the proton) is not parity-invariant therefore a non-zero value of  $A_N$ does not mean a parity-violation in QCD but rather that the **proton is** not an eigenstate of the parity operator.

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

### No unusual behavior in DCA or Vz plots (No PiD applied).

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Distance of closest approach run 15 pAu UPC triggers

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

## **Background Estimations**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

= 4.44  
fitfunc = 
$$A_{\rho}$$
 $\left| \frac{\sqrt{M_{\pi\pi}M_{\rho}\Gamma_{\rho}}}{M_{\pi\pi}^2 - M_{\rho}^2 + i\Gamma_{\rho}M_{\rho}} \right| + aM_{\pi\pi} - A_{\rho}^2 + i\Gamma_{\rho}M_{\rho}$ 
 $A_{\rho} = 174.14 + - 3.07818$   
 $M_{\rho} = 0.771699 + - 0.00198666$   
 $\Gamma_{\rho} = 0.114254 + - 0.00586319$ 

+/- 3.38262 1.61083 а = b = 9.31097e-06 3.28084 +/-

$$|V_{z}| < 70 \,\mathrm{cm}$$

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Background Estimations** $|V_z| < 70 \text{ cm}$

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Background Estimations**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $M_{\pi\pi}$  (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

fitfunc = 
$$A_{\rho}$$
BW( $M_{\rho}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\rho}$ ) +  $A_{f_0}$ BW +  $aM_{\pi\pi}$  ·

 $|V_{7}| < 70 \,\mathrm{cm}$ 

No significant effect on the ratio  $A_{\rho}/A_{f_0}$  as we change  $\Delta\Delta$ TOF. The background still looks to be negligible.

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)